Evaluation of Hydraulic Piping Systems

Idorenyin E. Markson¹ , Innocent O. Oboh2*, Mfon O. Umana¹ , Chigozie O. Eleghasim¹ and Ogbonnaya Agwu¹ .

¹Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Uyo, Uyo, P. M. B 1017, Akwa Ibom State-Nigeria.

²Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Uyo, Uyo, P. M. B 1017, Akwa Ibom State-Nigeria.

**Corresponding Author*: innocentoboh@uniuyo.edu.ng

DOI: [10.56201/wjimt.v7.no2.2023.pg7](https://doi.org/10.56201/ijssmr.v8.no1.2022.pg32.40).17

Abstract

Hydraulic piping systems have significant impacts on human endeavours such as households, industries and agriculture. Therefore, it is important to evaluate these impacts for developing effective piping systems. However, there has been surprisingly limited research focusing on impact evaluation for designing hydraulic pipe systems so far. To address this gap, this paper proposes a framework to comprehensively evaluate hydraulic piping systems. These metrics primarily focus on (i) design of hydraulic piping system, (ii) Components, (iii) Fluid compatibility, (iv) Environmental considerations, (v) Safety, (vi) Application of software technology, (vii) Software development, and (viii) Future perspective. The proposed framework greatly enhances the fundamental understanding of the underlying properties of the hydraulic piping systems. Such understanding offers important guidance to develop effective pipe management, resource planning, and software development for hydraulic piping system.

Keywords: Pipes, hydraulic, fluid compatibility, components

Introduction

Pipeline systems range from the very simple ones to very large and quite complex ones. They may be as uncomplicated as a single pipe conveying water from one reservoir to another or they may be as elaborate as an interconnected set of water distribution networks for a major metropolitan area. Individual pipelines may contain any of several kinds of pumps at one end or at an interior point; they may deliver water to or from storage tanks.

A system may consist of a number of sub-networks separated by differing energy lines or pressure levels that serve neighborhoods at different elevations, and some of these may have pressurized tanks so that pumps need not operate continuously. So these conveyance systems will adequately fulfill their intended functions, they may require the inclusion of pressure reducing or pressure sustaining valves (Lechevallieret al., 2003). To protect the physical integrity of a pipeline system, there may be a need to install surge control devices, such as surge relief valves, surge tanks, or airvacuum valves, at various points in the system.

Process industries, factory buildings and even homes deal with a lot of equipment and fluids. These fluids have to be transported from one point to another. One of the most popular means of fluid transport is by pipes. Pipes used in moving fluids are subjected to varying loads over their life span. Temperatures in piping systems may range from subzero values to thousands of degrees Celsius. Also, it has been reported that pipes in some process industries can withstand pressures from vacuum pressure to over 20 000 psi intermittently (McAllister, 2002). Depending on the material they are made of, pipes employed in piping systems are prone to corrosion, erosion, toxic conditions and radioactivity. Consequently, piping systems, wherever utilized, must be properly designed to achieve the optimum service performance.

Hydraulic piping systems play a critical role in various industries and its evaluation involves assessing its performance, safety, and efficiency. Proper evaluation ensures that the system functions as intended and minimizes the risk of failures that could lead to downtime, loss of productivity, or even safety hazards. In order to be able to evaluate the hydraulic piping system effectively, some key aspects of these systems would have to be taken into consideration. These aspects are design review, component inspection, fluid analysis, pressure testing, flow rate verification, security checks, performance testing, noise and vibration assessment, energy efficiency, maintenance and lubrication, etc. In putting these into perspective one has to begin by reviewing the system's design to ensure it meets the intended application's requirements by checking factors such as flow rates, pressure levels, temperature ranges, fluid compatibility, and structural integrity. Examining components like pipes, hoses, fittings, valves, pumps, and actuators by looking for signs of wear, corrosion, leaks, or any visible damage in order to replace or repair components as necessary. Monitoring the quality of the hydraulic fluid used in the system over time. Conducting pressure tests to ensure that the system can handle the expected operating static and dynamic pressures conditions without leaks or failures and ensuring that the hydraulic fluid is flowing through the system at the intended rates. Ensuring that safety features are functioning correctly to prevent overpressure situations that could lead to catastrophic failures. Evaluating response times, actuator movement, and load-carrying capacities as performance testing helps identify any deviations from expected behaviour. Evaluating noise and vibration levels to identify and address potential problems. Optimizing the system for energy efficiency can lead to cost savings and reduced environmental impact. Evaluating the maintenance schedule and lubrication practices for the system as regular maintenance and proper lubrication are essential for preventing wear and ensuring long-term reliability. Finally, providing training to operators and maintenance personnel to ensure they understand how to operate the system safely and effectively.

A hydraulic piping system includes the assembly of pipes as well as pipe fittings, valves, flanges, bolts, supports and other instruments. Therefore, the design of a piping system must take all of these into account. It is important that those involved in the design have good knowledge of the selection and application of these piping components. It is also vital to have a sound understanding of applicable piping codes and standards. Even so, the piping engineer must have sufficient knowledge in piping materials and material costs. Over and above all of these, piping system design involves the understanding of the fluid flow dynamics inside the pipes as well as the forces induced by the fluid on the pipe inner walls.

The three requirements for a hydraulic pipe system include its ability to deliver the quantity of fluid required, to resist all external and internal forces acting upon it, and to be durable such that it does not suddenly fail in (Clark et al., 1990). The materials commonly used to accomplish these goals today are ductile iron, pre-stressed concrete, polyvinyl chloride (PVC), reinforced plastic, and steel.

Water distribution systems (WDSs) are the facilities (e.g., pipes, pumps, valves, and tanks) that are collectively used to supply water from their source to the points of usage, with desired quality, quantity, and pressure (Berardi et al., 2014; Housh and Ostfeld, 2015).

Water pipelines in buildings are complex and maintaining hydraulic integrity of the piping systems is vital to ensure that water of acceptable quality is delivered in acceptable amounts (National Research Council, 2006). The most critical element of hydraulic integrity is adequate water pressure inside the pipes. The loss of water pressure resulting from pipe breaks, significant leakage, excessive head loss at the pipe walls, pump or valve failures, or pressure surges can impair water delivery and can increase the risk of contamination of the water supply via intrusion. Another critical hydraulic factor is the length of time water is in the distribution system. Low flow rates in pipes create long travel times, and in some sections, sediments may collect and breed microbes thereby reducing water quality (World Health Organisation, 2006).

The objective of this paper is evaluating the design of hydraulic piping systems for application to places like buildings and others.

Design

In designing a hydraulic piping system, it is ensured that the chosen piping materials seals, and components are compatible with the hydraulic fluid being used. Incompatible materials can lead to leaks, corrosion, and system failures as the piping system must meet standard to handle the required pressure and flow rates for the specific application. Proper sizing of pipes and components is crucial to avoid pressure drops and inefficiencies as proper sizing of the pipes can avoid excessive pressure drops and maintain the desired flow rates since pipe diameter selection will take into consideration the flow velocity and Reynolds number in order to prevent turbulent flow (Lechevallier, et al., 2003). Incorporate pressure relief valves, safety valves, and other safeguards to protect the system from overpressure situations, minimize the number of bends, and elbows to reduce pressure losses. In addition, to avoid these, appropriate materials for the pipes based on factors such as fluid type, pressure, temperature, and environmental conditions. Common materials include steel, stainless steel, and various types of plastics. Accounting for temperature changes and thermal expansion in the system design. Consider the environmental conditions where the hydraulic system will operate, such as exposure to chemicals, moisture, and other elements that might affect the integrity of the system (Islam et al., 2013). Ensure that all components, including pipes, fittings, and fasteners, are designed to withstand the operating conditions and loads they will experience over the system's lifespan.

Components

Regular maintenance and inspections are essential to ensure the reliable performance of a hydraulic piping system. Inspecting the quality and compatibility of pipes, fittings, valves, pumps, filters, and other components used in the system to confirm that they are rated for the operating pressures

and temperatures of the hydraulic system. And also, ensuring that the pipes or tubes are of the appropriate size to handle the required fluid flow and pressure (Fuertes-Miquel et al., 2019; Fuertes-Miquel et al., 2016; Izquierdo et al., 1999; Fuertes-Miquel et al., 2019). The inspections that are essential to provide adequate performance for hydraulic piping systems is as seen in Table 1.

Component	Inspection
Pipes and Tubing	Check for corrosion, rust, or wear that can weaken the
	material.
	Inspect for any leaks or signs of fluid seepage.
	Confirm that the material is compatible with the hydraulic
	fluid being used.
Fittings and Connectors	Examine fittings for signs of wear, damage, or improper
	threading.
	Verify that connectors are properly tightened to prevent
	leaks.
	Make sure that fittings and connectors are rated for the
	system's pressure and temperature requirements.
Valves	Test all valves for proper opening, closing, and modulation.
	Check for any leaks around valve stems or bodies.
	Inspect valve seats and seals for signs of wear or damage.
Filters	Assess the condition of filters to ensure they are not
	clogged.
	Replace filters according to the recommended maintenance
	schedule.
	Verify that filters are compatible with the hydraulic fluid
	and system requirements.
Pumps	Monitor pump performance, including flow rate and
	pressure generation.
	Listen for unusual noises, which could indicate mechanical
	issues.
	Check for leaks around pump seals and connections.
Motors	Evaluate motor efficiency and power output.
	Monitor for overheating, which might indicate excessive
	load or inadequate cooling.
	Check for leaks around motor connections.
Cylinders and Actuators	Inspect for signs of wear on cylinder rods, seals, and
	gaskets.
	Check for fluid leaks around cylinder connections.
	Ensure proper alignment of cylinders to prevent binding or
	uneven wear.
Reservoir and Fluid Level	Monitor fluid levels regularly and top off as needed.

Table 1: Regular maintenance and inspections

Fluid Compatibility

Evaluating fluid compatibility in a hydraulic piping system is crucial to ensure the proper functioning and longevity of the system. Hydraulic systems involve the transmission of pressurized fluids to transmit power, and the wrong fluid or incompatible materials can lead to leaks, corrosion, reduced performance, and even system failure. Understanding the system requirements by determining the operating conditions and identifying the specific hydraulic fluid required for the piping system (Colombo et al., 2009; Fernandes and Karney, 2004).

The choice of materials for pipes, fittings, seals, and other components that are compatible with the chosen hydraulic fluid and consideration of factors like chemical compatibility, pressure ratings, and temperature limits when selecting materials. Cross-reference the hydraulic fluid's chemical composition with the compatibility charts and guidelines provided by material manufacturers. These charts typically indicate which materials are suitable for use with specific fluids. It should be noted that in cases of uncertainty, consideration is given to conducting compatibility tests. Small-scale tests can help determine how the fluid interacts with the selected materials over time. Fluid compatibility can change with temperature variations (Fuertes-Miquel, 2022).

Environmental Considerations

Hydraulic piping systems are commonly used in various industries, including construction, manufacturing, and more (Wang et al., 2013). While these systems offer numerous benefits, they can also have several potential environmental impacts, which include the followings:

- *Fluid Leakage and Spills*
- *Waste Generation*
- *Energy Consumption*
- *Noise Pollution*
- *Resource Extraction and Manufacturing*
- *Maintenance and Disposal of Components*
- *Potential for Accidents*
- *Habitat Disruption*

To mitigate these potential impacts, various measures can be taken:

- *Regular Maintenance*
- *Proper Fluid Management*
- *Efficiency Improvements*
- *Waste Management*
- *Noise Reduction*
- *Environmental Regulations*

Safety

The safety of the piping system is to ensure the system's proper functioning, reliability, and prevention of potential hazards (Yamijala et al., 2009). The features and assessment are describe in Table 2.

Table 2: Safety features and assessment

Application of software technology

Software technology has found numerous applications in the design, analysis, monitoring, and management of hydraulic piping systems (Fuertes-Miquel, 2022). These applications can greatly enhance efficiency, accuracy, and safety in various industries. Common examples include but not limited to CAD and 3D Modeling Software e.g AutoCAD, SolidWorks, and CATIA. Simulation and Analysis Software e.g ANSYS Fluent, COMSOL Multiphysics, and Simcenter. Fluid Dynamics Simulation e.g Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) software. Piping Stress Analysis e.g Caesar II; Control and Automation Software e.g PLC (Programmable Logic Controller) and SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition). IoT and Sensors; Data Visualization and Analytics e.g Tableau and Power BI; Maintenance and Diagnostics; Hydraulic System Modeling Software e.g Automation Studio and DSHplus; Documentation and Collaboration Tools e.g Autodesk Vault (Lopes de Carvalho, 2017).

Overall, the integration of software technology in hydraulic piping systems enhances design accuracy, reduces errors, improves efficiency, and enables better monitoring and maintenance of these critical systems (Vítkovský et al., 2000).

Software development

Software development for hydraulic piping systems involves creating computer programs and applications that help design, simulate, analyze, and manage hydraulic piping systems. These systems are commonly used in various industries such as manufacturing, construction, and agriculture to transmit power and control fluid movements (Fuertes-Miquel, 2022). Understanding factors such as fluid type, flow rates, pressure, temperature, safety regulations, and any special features are needed for the hydraulic piping system software. Planning the software architecture and user interface by deciding on the platforms and technologies to be used for development with Consideration whether the software will be a standalone application, a web-based tool, or integrated into other design software. The creation of a digital representation of the hydraulic piping system with simulation tools can help predict how the system will behave under different conditions, allowing engineers to optimize design parameters. Also, implementing algorithms to simulate fluid flow, pressure drops, and temperature changes within the piping system and integrating databases of hydraulic components with the software (Wu and Sage, 2006, Wu et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2009). Other important features needed for the development of a software include User Interface (UI) Development, Calculation and Analysis, Safety Considerations, Visualization and Reporting, Integration and Compatibility, Testing and Debugging, Documentation and Continuous Improvement.

Future perspective

Predicting the future with certainty is challenging. Just like many other industries, hydraulic systems are likely to become more interconnected and "smart." This could involve the integration of sensors, IoT (Internet of Things) technology, and data analytics to monitor the health and performance of hydraulic piping systems in real time. This connectivity can lead to improved efficiency, predictive maintenance, and better decision-making. There's a growing emphasis on energy efficiency and environmental sustainability across industries. In the future, hydraulic

systems might see improvements in design and materials to minimize energy loss, reduce leaks, and decrease the overall environmental impact. This could involve the development of pumps that are more efficient, valves, and actuators. As technology advances, the miniaturization of components could lead to more compact hydraulic systems. This is particularly important in industries where space is limited, such as aerospace and robotics. Smaller hydraulic piping systems could offer comparable performance while saving space and weight. The development of new materials and manufacturing techniques could lead to stronger, lighter, and more durable hydraulic components. Additive manufacturing (3D printing) might play a role in creating complex geometries and optimizing designs for efficiency and performance. Predictive maintenance using data analytics and AI could become a standard practice in hydraulic systems. By continuously monitoring system data, it would be possible to predict when components are likely to fail and perform maintenance before a critical failure occurs, reducing downtime and improving reliability.

Conclusion

The motivation for developing strategies to properly evaluate the hydraulic piping system, as well as the preparation of emergency response resources for dealing with maintenance to minimize their associated impact to the environment has been discussed. To ensure the effectiveness of these strategies, it is critical to understand the underlying features on the hydraulic and piping system.

The present study attempts to address the above need by introducing a comprehensive framework for evaluating the hydraulic piping system with the aid of six proposed features.

These features focus on identifying different aspects of the hydraulic piping system, namely, (i) design of hydraulic piping system, (ii) Components, (iii) Fluid compatibility, (iv) Environmental considerations, (v) Safety, (vi) Application of software technology, (vii) Software development, and (viii) Future perspective.

Furthermore, the comprehensive evaluation framework proposed in this study will also benefit relevant government departments, as it can be a particularly useful tool for evaluating the hydraulic piping system when designing effective management schemes for natural disasters (e.g., earthquakes).

Consequently, the evaluation framework cannot only show the impacts of pipe systems on the safety and the environment. But future studies should incorporate metrics that account for the software development and its applications as it affects the hydraulic piping system events into the proposed evaluation framework.

Funding

This project was possible because of the provision of fund by Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) Nigeria under the Institutional Based Research Grant number TETFUND/DESS/UNI/UYO/2018/RP/VOL.1.

Acknowledgement

The authors will like to appreciate the managements of TETFUND for providing the fund and University of Uyo for the enabling environment to carry out this research work.

References

- Berardi, L., Ugarelli, R., Røstum, J., & Giustolisi, O. (2014). Assessing mechanical vulnerability in water distribution networks under multiple failures. *Water Resources Research*, *50*, 2586–2599.<https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR014770>
- Clark, R. M., and Tippen, D. L. (1990) Water Supply. pp. 5.173–5.220 In: Standard Handbook of Environmental Engineering. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York
- Colombo, A. F., Lee, P., & Karney, B. W. (2009). A selective literature review of transient-based leak detection methods. *Journal of Hydro-Environment Research*, *2*(4), 212–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jher.2009.02.003>
- Fernandes, C., & Karney, B. (2004). Modelling the advection equation under water hammer conditions. *Urban Water Journal*, *1*(2), 97–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15730620412331290038>
- Fuertes-Miquel, V.S. (2022). Hydraulic Transients in Water Distribution Systems. *Water*, *14*, 3612.<https://doi.org/10.3390/w14223612>
- Fuertes-Miquel, V.S.; Coronado-Hernández, O.E.; Mora-Meliá, D.; Iglesias-Rey, P.L. Hydraulic modeling during filling and emptying processes in pressurized pipelines: A literature review. *Urban Water J.* **2019**, *16*, 299–311.
- Fuertes-Miquel, V.S.; López-Jiménez, P.A.; Martínez-Solano, F.J.; López-Patiño, G. Numerical modelling of pipelines with air pockets and air valves. *Can. J. Civ. Eng.* **2016**, *43*, 1052– 1061.
- Fuertes-Miquel, V.S.; Coronado-Hernández, O.D.; Iglesias-Rey, P.L.; Mora-Meliá, D. Transient phenomena during the emptying process of a single pipe with water-air interaction. *J. Hydraul. Res.* **2019**, *57*, 318–326.
- Housh, M., & Ostfeld, A. (2015). An integrated logit model for contamination event detection in water distribution systems. *Water Research*, *75*, 210–223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.02.016>
- Islam, S. M., Sadiq, R., Rodriguez, M. J., Najjaran, H., & Hoorfar, M. (2013). Reliability assessment for water supply systems under uncertainties. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *140*(4), 468–479.
- Izquierdo, J.; Fuertes, V.S.; Cabrera, E.; Iglesias, P.L.; García-Serra, J. Pipeline start-up with entrapped air. *J. Hydraul. Res.* **1999**, *37*, 579–590.
- Lechevallier, M. W., Gullick, R. W., Karim, M. R., Friedman, M., & Funk, J. E. (2003). The potential for health risks from intrusion of contaminants into the distribution system from

pressure transients. *Journal of Water and Health*, *1*(1), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2003.0002>

- Lopes de Carvalho, L. F. (2017). Hydraulic analysis of a water distribution system. The journal of Engineering and Exact sciences –*Vol. 03 n. 05.pp. 0745–0747 doi:* 10.18540/jcecvl3iss5pp0745-0747
- McAllister, E. W. (2002). Pipeline Rules of Thumb Handbook. Gulf Professional Publishing, Boston, USA. pp. 35 – 37.
- National Research Council (2006). Drinking water distribution systems: assessing and reducing risks. National Academies Press, Washington, D. C. pp. 317–320.
- Vítkovský, J. P., Simpson, A. R., & Lambert, M. F. (2000). Leak detection and calibration using transients and genetic algorithms. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *126*(4), 262–265. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9496\(2000\)126:4\(262\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9496(2000)126:4(262))
- Wang, R., Wang, Z., Wang, X., Yang, H., & Sun, J. (2013). Pipe burst risk state assessment and classification based on water hammer analysis for water supply networks. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *140*(6), 04014005.
- World Health Organization (2006). Health aspects of plumbing. WHO and World Plumbing Council Available: Available: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/plumbinghealthasp.pdf?ua=1
- Wu, Y., Liu, S., Xue, W., Liu, Y., & Guan, Y. (2016). Burst detection in district metering areas using a data driven clustering algorithm. *Water Research*, *100*, 28–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.05.016
- Wu, Z. Y., & Sage, P. (2006). Water loss detection via genetic algorithm optimization-based model calibration, ASCE 8th Annual International, 5.
- Wu, Z. Y., Wang, R. H., Walski, T. M., Yang, S. Y., Bowdler, D., & Baggett, C. C. (2009). Extended global-gradient algorithm for pressuredependent water distribution analysis. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *135*(1), 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.1061/> (ASCE)0733-9496(2009)135:1(13)
- Yamijala, S., Guikema, S. D., & Brumbelow, K. (2009). Statistical models for the analysis of water distribution system pipe break data. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, *94*(2), 282–293[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2008.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2008.03.011)